

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

In the Matter of : No. 3011 Disciplinary Docket No. 3  
: :  
PETER FRANCIS BLUST : No. 146 DB 2023  
: :  
: (Court of Common Pleas of Bucks  
: County, No. CP-09-CR-0002238-2022)  
: :  
: Attorney Registration No. 47072  
: :  
: (Philadelphia)

**ORDER**

**PER CURIAM**

**AND NOW**, this 8<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2023, having received no response to a rule to show cause why Respondent Peter Francis Blust should not be placed on temporary suspension, the Rule is made absolute, and Respondent is placed on temporary suspension. See Pa.R.D.E. 214(d)(2). Respondent shall comply with the provisions of Pa.R.D.E. 217.

Respondent's right to petition for dissolution or amendment of this Order and to request accelerated disposition of any charges underlying this Order pursuant to Pa.R.D.E. 214(d)(4) and (f)(2) are specifically preserved.

This Order constitutes an imposition of public discipline. See Pa.R.D.E. 402(c)(2) (providing an exception to the confidentiality requirement of Rule 402 when "the investigation is predicated upon a conviction of the respondent-attorney for a crime").

A True Copy, Nicole Traini  
As Of 12/08/2023

Attest:   
Chief Clerk  
Supreme Court of Pennsylvania